IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MEGAN MCGUIRE)	
Plaintiff, vs.) (CASE NO. 8:16 CV 00004
)	
CORY COOPER, et al.)	
Defendants.)	
	AFFIDAVIT OF TIM DO	DLAN
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)	
STATE OF MERRASIZA)	

Comes now, your affiant, Tim Dolan, under oath, and deposes and states as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law and in good standing in the States of Nebraska and Colorado. I am a Deputy Douglas County Attorney working in the Civil Division of the Douglas County Attorney's Office. Our office is located in Room 909 of the Civic Center, at 1819 Farnam Street, in Omaha, Douglas County Nebraska. I am one of the attorneys representing Defendants Timothy F. Dunning and the County of Douglas, Nebraska in the above-captioned lawsuit. During the course of representing these Defendants, I have become familiar with pleadings and other documents located during discovery and exchanged among counsel for the various parties in this lawsuit.
- 2. I make this affidavit pursuant to NE Civ. R 7 (b) (2) (c). Attached to this affidavit are true and correct copies of the following documents exchanged during discovery:
 - a. Ms. McGuire's responses to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff Megan McGuire. I am also including a copy of the actual requests for admission served upon Ms. McGuire in order to incorporate Exhibit "A" thereto into this attachment. Exhibit "A" Ms. McGuire's written statement to the Omaha Police Department was omitted from her responses.
 - b. Ms. McGuire's answers to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Megan McGuire.



c. Mr. Cooper's answers to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission Defendant Cory Cooper which were originally served on or about June 7, 2016 (See Document 34) and delivered to our office on or about August 9, 2016. Mr. Cooper did not copy the original requests for admission when responding, so I have also attached a copy of Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission Defendant Cory Cooper.

Further your affiant sayeth naught.

Tim Dolan

Subscribed and sworn before me this 6 day of November 2016.

GENERAL NOTARY - State of Nebraska
GARY A. STRAIN
My Comm. Exp. June 25, 2019

Notary Public

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MEGAN MCGUIRE,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. 8:16 CV 00004
CORY COOPER, TIMOTHY F. DUNNING, Individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff)	
of Douglas County, Nebraska, and DOUGLAS COUNTY,))	
Defendants.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT TIMOTHY F. DUNNING'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO PLAINTIFF MEGAN MCGUIRE

Plaintiff Megan McGuire, by and through her counsel, Loevy & Loevy, responds to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff Megan McGuire as follows:

Request No. 1:

Admit that on February 10, 2013, Plaintiff Megan McGuire (hereafter "Ms. McGuire") was over eighteen years of age.

ANSWER: Plaintiff objects to this request to admit because it is overbroad and irrelevant if it is asked in order to imply that Plaintiff was old enough to consent to Defendant Cooper's unlawful abuse of his police powers to commit a sexual crime against Plaintiff. Without waiving that objection, Plaintiff admits.

Request No. 2:

Admit that on February 10, 2013, Kyle Worland was over eighteen years of age.

ANSWER: Plaintiff objects to this request to admit because it is overbroad and irrelevant and seeks an admission regarding a third party's date of birth, information that she has no personal knowledge to confirm. Without waiving those objections, on information and belief, Plaintiff admits.

EXHIBIT 1.a.

Request No. 3:

Admit that on May 8, 2013, Ms. McGuire provided the Omaha Police

Department with a written statement.

ANSWER: Plaintiff admits.

Request No. 4:

Admit that Exhibit "A" hereto is a true and correct copy of the written statement

Ms. McGuire provided to the Omaha Police Department.

ANSWER: Plaintiff admits.

Request No. 5:

Admit that when Ms. McGuire wrote the written statement that is Exhibit "A"

hereto, it (Exhibit "A" hereto) was true to the best of her knowledge.

ANSWER: Plaintiff admits that her statement was true to the best of her

recollection at the time she gave the statement, and affirmatively states that the

statement was not a complete description of every detail of the unlawful conduct

taken against her.

Request No. 6:

Admit that the written statement that is Exhibit "A" hereto is true to the best of Ms.

McGuire's knowledge.

ANSWER: Plaintiff admits that her statement is true to the best of her

recollection, and affirmatively states that the statement is not a complete description

of every detail of the unlawful conduct taken against her.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By:

/s/ Mark Loevy-Reves

Attorney for Plaintiff

2

Arthur Loevy
Jon Loevy
Mark Loevy-Reyes
Cindy Tsai
LOEVY & LOEVY
311 N Aberdeen Street, 3rd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60607
(312) 243-5900

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mark Loevy-Reyes, an attorney, hereby certify that I served the foregoing Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's Firs Set of Requests For Admission to Plaintiff Megan McGuire on all counsel of record via electronic mail on July 25, 2016.

/s/ Mark Loevy-reyes

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MEG	AN MCGUIRE	·)	
	Plaintiff,))	CASE NO. 8:16 CV 00004
		j	DEFENDANT
vs.)	TIMOTHY F. DUNNING'S
CORY	Y COOPER, et al.)	FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO
	Defendants.	, ,)	PLAINTIFF MEGAN MCGUIRE
То:	Plaintiff Meghan McGuire, care of her Arthur Loevy, Jon Loevy, Mark Loevy Loevy & Loevy 312 North May Street, Suite 100 Chicago, Illinois 60607	r attorneys: y-Reyes, ar	nd Cindy Tsai

Defendant Timothy F. Dunning (Dunning), by and through the undersigned Deputy County Attorneys, hereby serves the following Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff Megan McGuire, number 1 through 6, upon Plaintiff Megan McGuire pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 and NE Civ. R. 36.1. Plaintiff Megan McGuire is advised that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 (a) (3), she has thirty (30) days from the date she was served to serve written answers or objections upon Dunning (by and through the undersigned Deputy County Attorneys). Plaintiff Megan McGuire is further advised that, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26 (e) (1) (A), she may be required to supplement or correct responses to the below Requests for Admission.

FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST NO. 1: Admit that on February 10, 2013, Plaintiff Megan McGuire (hereafter "Ms. McGuire") was over eighteen years of age.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 2: Admit that on February 10, 2013, Kyle Worland was over eighteen years of age.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 3: Admit that on May 8, 2013, Ms. McGuire provided the Omaha Police Department with a written statement.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 4: Admit that Exhibit "A" hereto is a true and correct copy of the written statement Ms. McGuire provided to the Omaha Police Department.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 5: Admit that when Ms. McGuire wrote the written statement that is Exhibit "A" hereto, it (Exhibit "A" hereto) was true to the best of her knowledge.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 6: Admit that the written statement that is Exhibit "A" hereto is true to the best of Ms. McGuire's knowledge.

ANSWER:

DATED this $\frac{7 \, \text{M}}{2}$ day of June 2016.

TIMOTHY F. DUNNING, Individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Douglas County, Nebraska, and COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, NEBRASKA, Defendants.

DONALD W. KLEINE,

Douglas County Attorney.

BY:

Tipothy K. Dolan #20978 Meghan M. Bothe #25208 Deputy County Attorneys

909 Civic Center Omaha, NE 68183

T: (402) 444-7622 F: (402) 444-6817 tim.dolan@douglascounty-ne.gov meghan.bothe@douglascounty-ne.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 74, 2016, I mailed a copy of the above Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff Megan McGuire by First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Arthur Loevy Cindy Tsai Jon Loevy Mark Loevy-Reyes LOEVY, LOEVY LAW FIRM 312 North May Street, Suite 100 Chicago, IL 60607 T: (312) 243-5900 F: (312) 243-5902 · arthur@loevy.com cindy@loevy.com jon@loevy.com mark@loevy.com

Mr. Cory Cooper 10212 V Street Omaha, Nebraska 68127.

Myheli

WECHESCOY Date: 5/8/13 Time: /80/_ RB No
The following statement is given by Megan M Megan M - Gure Name (First/Middle/Last)
of 7111 South 21st Street Balevise NE. D.O.B. 12.16.1993sex: Female
Race: White to R. Butter 1738
at 11949 Q 5 7 Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.
This statement is in regard to Sexual Assault at Zollin Sky Lake
Offense/Incident Location
Date Reported Megan McGui
Posts When handing out after we got of
Dork at what would say around 8° clock;
and we decided to go sit in Zarinsky Park we
168th and well when your coming from Center
twords Q street it is on your Left side
Side. It is that one with bathrooms and the
Parking lot Airial Vientis like this.
But hooding to the Carlo
168th Bridge
EXHIBIT
(continue on reverse side)
+ h: 5

Continued statement of Statement of 8:16-cv-00004_TEBLISMB Doc #63-4 Filed: 11/16/16 Page 1 of 45 Pages
We whan kyle's truck, and this an Tanish /Ba
Polor and at the time had a finconducts still
Br. the Back window. De We whom Parked
Facing Tinards the Bridge and to my Knowle
Kyle's head lights while or, be cause they always
and when the car is on who so I don't have any idea
what hyle was nearing but I think it was his
Blue walmart Shirt and his work Pants the This
Etter tan. And I was near ag my the due shi
with a V nick, and my Parale box's like girls
hoodie and my gray Sweat Pants. And answar
our where her the park and we where just
Sitting from talking and well to one thing lead
to another and we ended up having intercourse
after we where done tt. got back
into the Passengers seat and we where
about to smoke about and then Ryk sid
The con shin con car and 50 L was
my parts of the Scrambling ton
Tak halant color but one that a social aller to
That matters and 30 t paded in 146
Q. Is there anything you would like to add or change in this statement?
A. <u>No</u>
 Q. Is this statement given freely and voluntarily and without any threats or promises, and is it true to the best of your knowledge? A. VES
Som direction
Signature Time
1738 Signature Time

8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB Doc # 63-1 Filed: 11/16/16 Page 12 of 45 - Page ID # 312

STATEMENT

P: WEDNESON Date: 5/8/13 Time: 1802 RB No. 565100
The following statement is given by Myda Mane (First/Middle/Last)
of 7111 South 21st street Bellevue, Nt D.O.B. 17.16.1993 sex: Female
Race: White to R. Butter 1738
officer(s) atOmaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.
This statement is in regard to Sexual assault at Zorinsky Lake Offense/Incident Location
On
a_c
a coat over my leas and not really
traving the to part by fants up because
So well thin the COD came up to Riles.
Truck knocked omny Passenges Side
window the and shirted his light in
while hyle rolled the window down
and all thember about that whole thing was
What he said to him because Tinst saw
the constlashlight or a jur of weed
as soon as he shrhed his light in the
truck so all I could think was here
tucked like 50 caught up. From the Assistant So then
The truck and t was like will can t
Put my Pants on first. And he said okay
(continue on reverse side)

4 of 12 Pages

8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB OMACH 63-1 Eiled 11/16/16 Page 14 of 45 - Page ID # 314

⊃ 3 → 0 = 1A4 = 1A 4
Wednesday Date: 5/8/13 Time: 1802 RB No. 565/0 Q
The following statement is given by Waan MCoure Name (First/Middle/Last)
of 27111 South 21st Street & Bellevie NED.O.B. 12.16.1993 Sex: Female
Race: White to R.B.Het 178
Officer(s) Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.
Location
This statement is in regard to Sexual august at 201 m Sky lake
Offense/Incident Location
ncnc
Date Reported
the made kyle lean against the Back
triers side of the cruser behind the
Dack doors and the Patfeed Rule down I was
here searched, then he had kyle sit in the back
With me but I'm Pretty Sure Ryle was hand with
I really don't be meber but I think so because
when the rop what and searched tyles truck
Myle was sairing how the cop wasn't Pushing
be m around and I man handle ira him like they
Usually do, and that his coffs wherent like supp.
Fight like he could move his wrist around &
So then after like IDK 20; sh minhe can
back he took kne back to his so trulk and
came back to the cruiser he got into the front.
the drivers side like and mal Person and then
The was like So what is know to you and yada.
like is he just a triend or what's a sing on?
(continue on reverse side)

Continued statement of 8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB_Doc # 63-7 Filed 11/16/16 Page 15 of 45- Rage 10 # 315
and I was like mell he's like my boyfire,
Stoft in his Truck to send hime to jail, and I was like well I don't understand how, there was only
Tike a dianter of an oz of marici and in the fruit and long Pipe and the thing told we there was a scale and
go to jail for that And then the cop said hell what are you willing to do to been the
can I take the change? can we split it up?
Tike can you just give us a ticket! and I has like well how long in jail like I'll take it I don't care this he was like no hot like that
any one to jail. He was like can you prove
givere he some reason as to why he should not a pulled the female cond
and has like his a tood guy great heart old Soul down to earth, just stalked him up Dasically and he was like of all looked as
and took all the avidence pack to hyle a. Is there anything you would like to add or change in this statement?
A. No O. Is this statement given freely and voluntarily and without any threats or promises, and is it true to the best of your knowledge? A. VES
Afitnesses: 1738 Mitnesses: Signature Time

8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB_MAGG#P60-1CEFJEPAP4/MENT6 Page 16 of 45 - Page ID # 316 RB No. 565/00 bedresdy Date: 5/8/13 he following statement is given by Name (First/Middle/Lest t Street Bellvue NE D.O.B. 12.16.1993 sex: Female Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska. This statement is in regard to Sexel as Soully at Zerra Sky / Social Location Date Reported 1 DW

an

(continue din reverse side)

Continued statement of MCCO MC Pages
Continued statement of
to the dk eat a pex of Cookos or
The go in moin the lake 1022 173
FMP 7 IN ONTSIDE AND THE
Truly de de la lace de lace de la lace de lace de la lace de la lace de lace de lace de la lace de la lace de lac
rediculouse cuzz IDK what he noted AND he inset
laughed and was like no that's hot what t
nant and then be was I. Kn glright vor
har like 10 min to think of sonlething
and he sat in selence for a while well ack
there was a lot of sitting in selence to
didn't reply to him hight away I satis
5, lence like every time for a 114th hit
contemplating on what to do And aftera
while of silence the distribution
OST TO MICH TO THE PORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
Laake wo doe
The wave Just sitt
and I'm the out of down Totalish
Valant to be a like to that
tell has what the add in the add to
IDR what your Octions at well to
an I dea but + Del 110 11 have
2. Is there anything you would like to add or change in this statement?
Is this statement given freely and voluntarily and without any threats or promises, and is it true to the best of your knowledge?
MAN MAN O.
Witnesses: Megan 91/2 Inc
Signature Time

8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB_{OWDAY # 63-11cE bet Add MENT Page 18 of 45 - Page ID # 318 STATEMENT}

Older 1802 RB No. 565/00
The following statement is given by Mame (First/Middle/Last) Name (First/Middle/Last)
of 7111 South 21st Street Bellyve VD.O.B. LL. 16,1 sex: TEMPLE
Address
Officer by
Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska.
This statement is in regard to Sexual as Sexual at Destinate Location
Offense /Incident Location
Date Reported
And like I'm gomna get in trouble
Cox it and Stote if I said it and it
Derens vierd and I really don't hant
to come to that the Look mind
And he sund will in st sall it
wort net in trouble and I we
wont get in trovole and I we
Tike which a sat in silence to a good
Till to all lead this to was like
the 5 min ut least one the
Just say it and I was I'm
Uhh wall what you trying to see
the Baked DE Some shit! And He wa
The haked or some shit. And to
(continue on reverse side)

Continued statement of	Page / O of / 2 Pages
8:16-cv-00004-JFB-SMB Doc # 63-1	Filed: 11/16/16 Page 19 of 45 - Page ID # 319
Like In hot	Savinano, and so
was In tock	it. I took my Jake L
hy Shirt and My	PBra Bra Bra Knd Dit
and other Him of he	on cozz it was Freez
near view mirror	Ked at me throughthe
of the car an	and then he got out
	NAN ET
Pantsaud	ma ped his
	Vas like well
TONY DONT	Vou Come over
here and ob	
The work Sw	on mu mat you.
MILLAND	(10 to 600 0
6	NO TO Neep
My le Out	of trouble
The Difference of the Differen	
- ren Ne Tulle	O out his uh
TENS and	50 Till st And
2. Is there anything you would like to add or change in this sta	
* <u>_ (() ()</u>	•
2. Is this statement given freely and voluntarily and without an	y threats or promises, and is it true to the best of your knowledge?
	01.
Vitriestes:	Meyar M. June 19:58 Signature Time
1738	// Signature Time

•

Day: Wednesday Date: 5/8/13 Time: 1862 RB No. 56570 Q ollowing statement is given by Magan McGuire 7111 South 21st Street believe NED.O.B. 12.16.1993sex: Female _____ to <u>R_BHRS 1738</u> Omaha, Douglas County, Nebraska. This statement is in regard to South as Zolin Sky lake Date Reported Bhha Al

Continued statement of RB No. 8:16-cv-000 04 JFB SMB Doc # 63-1 Filed 16/16 Page 21 of 45- Page 10 350
was trying to malk of and sell and
Phis frustration, and suche whomas and that's
when I noticed my Fire Taking on the
ground the where the christer that Depresi
and so tarabled it I believe It mas
then occare when the coop took
the paraphanelia and such he set it entop
that she car and it blew off But whi was
right affects let me as also be didn't
he also never torned on his Blue and red light,
O. Is there anything you would like to add or change in this statement?
A. Do O. Is this statement given freely and voluntarily and without any threats or promises, and is it true to the best of your knowledge?
A. <u>V25</u>
Witnesses 19.58 Signature Time
Signature Time

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MEGAN MCGUIRE,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 8:16 CV 00004
CORY COOPER, TIMOTHY F. DUNNING, Individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Douglas County, Nebraska, and DOUGLAS COUNTY,))))
Defendants.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT TIMOTHY F. DUNNING'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF MEGAN MCGUIRE

Plaintiff Megan McGuire, by and through her counsel, Loevy & Loevy, responds to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Megan McGuire as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Identify any allegation of sexual misconduct – including sexual assault - against any Douglas County Sheriff's Office Deputy from 2005 to the present date that you have knowledge of, without regard to whether the allegation was founded or unfounded, by identifying the date of the alleged sexual misconduct (including sexual assault), the identity of the person(s) involved, and the nature of the alleged sexual misconduct (for example, whether it was verbal or physical).

ANSWER: Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory as Plaintiff's discovery on the subject is just beginning and Defendants control the information related to this interrogatory. Without waiving those objections, Plaintiff refers Defendant to documents produced by the County Defendants and Bates Numbered: 3547-3585; 3788-3810. Plaintiff further references the attached media reports Bates Numbered P003065 – P003078 identifying allegations against Douglas County Jail guards, the details of which are the subject of Plaintiff's pending Requests for Production as well as allegations against a Douglas County Jail guard that he engaged in sexual exploitation of a minor.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Do you contend that Defendant Cory Cooper ("Cooper") subjected you to an unreasonable search and an unreasonable seizure at any point on February 10, 2013? If your answer to this Interrogatory is anything other than an unqualified "No' then state:

- (a) The precise point in time on February 10, 2013 at which you believed you were not free to go about your business;
- (b) Each of Cooper's actions occurring up to the precise point in time on February 10, 2013 at which you believed you were not free to go about your business which made you believe you were not free to go about your business;
- (c) Any other factor aside from Cooper's actions responsive to sub-part (b), above that led you to believe you were not free to go about your business. This sub-part is intended to encompass your own subjective belief(s) about going about your business;
- (d) Each of Cooper's actions which you contend constituted an unreasonable search; and
- (e) State each and every material fact upon which you contend those of Cooper's actions responsive to sub-part (d), above, constituted an unreasonable search.

ANSWER: Plaintiff objects to this entire interrogatory (including subparts) because it is vague, calls for legal conclusions, and it seeks narrative responses or information that is incapable of being narrowly identified. Without waiving those objections, Plaintiff states that as stated in Plaintiff's Complaint, she does contend that Cooper did subject to her unreasonable search and seizure during her entire encounter with Defendant Cooper on February 10, 2013. Plaintiff specifically responds to the separate questions as follows:

- a. Plaintiff contends that she was not free to go about her business from the time that Defendant Cooper first encountered her until after he had finished abusing his police powers with the Douglas County Sheriff's Office by coercing her into performing an oral sex act and she was released from the back of the Douglas County Sheriff's police vehicle, which he was driving while on duty that night.
- b. See Plaintiff's Complaint and prior depositions. Plaintiff further states that each of Cooper's actions toward her were exercises of his police powers through the Douglas County Sheriff's and that his words and actions made clear to Plaintiff that she was not free to go about her business until after he had completed his abuse of power.
- c. As best as Plaintiff can ascertain what this question asks, Plaintiff states that her subjective belief during the entire encounter with Defendant Cooper on February 10, 2013 as he was exercising his police power was that she was not free to go about her business.
- d. Plaintiff contends that Defendant Cooper committed an unreasonable search of her by using his police powers to coerce her into removing her clothing and performed an unreasonable search of her by searching any bags, clothing, or other touching of her.

e. Plaintiff restates her response to paragraph 6(d).

Investigation continues.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Mark Loevy-Reyes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Arthur Loevy
Jon Loevy
Mark Loevy-Reyes
Cindy Tsai
LOEVY & LOEVY
311 N. Aberdeen, 3rd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60607
(312) 243-5900

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mark Loevy- Reyes, an attorney, hereby certify that I served the foregoing Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Megan McGuire on all counsel of record and on Mr. Cooper via electronic mail on October 7, 2016.

/s/ Mark Loevy-Reyes

VERIFICATION

I, Megan McGuire, have reviewed the foregoing Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant						
Timothy F. Dunning's Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Megan McGuire and verify that						
the answers are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.						
DATED:						
,	Megan McGuire					

United States District Court District of Nebraska

Megan McGuire, Plaintiff	.)		
Vs.)	Case No. 8:16 (CV)00 (04	Y
Cory Cooper, Timothy Dunning, Douglas County.)))	•	

Defendant Cory Cooper's Responses to Timothy Dunning's first requests of interrogatories, request for admissions, and request for production of documents.

Defendant Cooper's response to Timothy Dunning's first set of interrogatories:

To preface the responses of Defendant Cooper, the questions were posed in a way that were leading and implied that a sexual assault occurred. This is not the case and the answers provided are directly in response to the question posed with no admission that any sexual misconduct occurred.

Interrogatory 1:

- A) No.
- B) No.
- C) No.
- D) No.

Interrogatory 2:

Yes. The odor of burnt marijuana. Visual observation of marijuana and drug paraphernalia. Admission by both occupants of the vehicle.

Defendant Cooper's response to Timothy Dunning's first set of requests for admissions:

To preface the responses by Defendant Cooper, the questions are being answered in chronological order as they are posed. Defendant Cooper is answering the questions as if they were to be used chronologically.



- 1) Yes
- 2) Yes
- 3) Yes
- 4) Yes
- 5) Yes
- 6) Yes
- 7) Yes
- 8) Yes
- 9) Yes
- 10) Yes
- 11) Yes.
- 12) No. I believe that it was approximately 9 p.m.
- 13) No. I believe that it was approximately 9 p.m.
- 14) Yes.
- 15) Yes.
- 16) No. I believe that it was approximately 9 p.m.
- 17) Yes.
- 18) Yes.
- 19) Yes.
- 20) Yes.
- 21) Yes.
- 22) Yes.
- 23) Yes.
- 24) Yes.
- 25) Yes.
- 26) Yes.
- 27) Yes.
- 28) Yes.
- 29) Yes.
- 30) Yes.
- 31) Yes.
- 32) No. I did not observe Megan McGuire in a state of undress.
- 33) No. I did not observe Megan McGuire in a state of undress.
- 34) No. I did not observe Megan McGuire in a state of undress.
- 35) No. I did not observe Megan McGuire in a state of undress.
- 36) Yes.
- 37) Yes.
- 38) Yes.
- 39) Yes.
- 40) Yes.
- 41) Yes.
- 42) Yes.
- 43) Yes.
- 44) Yes.

- 45) Yes.
- 46) Yes.

Defendant Cooper's response to Timothy Dunning's request for production of documents.

To preface Defendant Cooper's response, to his best knowledge there are in documents in his possession that fall under any of the requested documents. All documentation that Defendant Cooper is in possession of the State OF Nebraska also had been previously provided through previous court discovery.

- 1) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 2) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 4) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 5) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 6) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 7) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 8) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 9) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 10) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.
- 11) No documents in Defendant Cooper's possession.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MEGAN MCGUIRE)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. 8:16 CV 00004
vs.) DEFENDANT) TIMOTHY F. DUNNING'S
CORY COOPER, et al.) FIRST SET OF REQUESTS) FOR ADMISSION TO
Defendants.) DEFENDANT CORY) COOPER

To: Cory Cooper 10212 V Street

Omaha, Nebraska 68127

Defendant Timothy F. Dunning (Dunning), by and through the undersigned Deputy County Attorneys, hereby serves the following Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Defendant Cory Cooper, number 1 through 46, upon Defendant Cory Cooper pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 and NE Civ. R. 36.1. Defendant Cory Cooper is advised that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(3), he has thirty (30) days from the date he was served to serve written answers or objections upon Dunning (by and through the undersigned Deputy County Attorneys). Defendant Cory Cooper is further advised that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1)(A), he may be required to supplement or correct responses to the below Requests for Admission.

FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST NO. 1: Admit that Exhibit "A" attached hereto is a true and correct copy of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-806 (captioned "Public indecency; penalty").

ANSWER

REOUEST NO. 2: Admit that the version of Exhibit "A" attached hereto is the version of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-806 (captioned "Public indecency; penalty"), which was in effect on February 10, 2013.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 3: If you cannot admit or deny the preceding request, then admit that on February 10, 2013, you believed there was a criminal statute in Nebraska that prohibited sexual activity in public areas.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 4: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you were employed as a Deputy Sheriff with the Douglas County Sheriff's Office ("DCSO").

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 5: Admit that at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, you were on duty as a Deputy Sheriff employed by DCSO.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 6: Admit that at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, you were working as a Patrol Deputy employed by DCSO.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 7: Admit that at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, you were wearing your DCSO uniform.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 8: Admit that on February 10, 2013, DCSO authorized you to wear your DCSO uniform.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 9: Admit that at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, DCSO required you to wear your DCSO uniform while you were on duty.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 10: Admit that at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, you were operating a patrol vehicle issued by DCSO.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 11: Admit that you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire on February 10, 2013.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 12: Admit that you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 13: Admit that you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire at approximately 8:30 p.m. on February 10, 2013, in a parking area of Zorinsky Lake park.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 14: Admit that the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire on February 10, 2013, is open to members of the public.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 15: Admit that the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire on February 10, 2013, was open to members of the public at the time you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 16: Admit that on February 10, 2013, at approximately 8:30 p.m., you pulled your patrol vehicle into the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 17: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you pulled your patrol vehicle into the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you were not operating the patrol vehicle's overhead, flashing lights.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 18: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you pulled your patrol vehicle into the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you were not sounding the patrol vehicle's sirens.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 19: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you pulled your patrol vehicle into the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you did not position your patrol vehicle in a manner that would block any other vehicle from leaving the parking area.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 20: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you pulled your patrol vehicle into the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, no other vehicle attempted to leave the parking area.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 21: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you parked your patrol vehicle in the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 22: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you parked your patrol vehicle in the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, no other vehicle attempted to leave the parking area.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 23: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you parked your patrol vehicle in the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you did not position your patrol vehicle in a manner that would have blocked any other vehicle from leaving the parking area.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 24: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you parked your patrol vehicle in the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you parked your patrol vehicle near a vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 25: Admit that on February 10, 2013, after parking your patrol vehicle in the parking area in which you encountered Plaintiff Megan McGuire, you got out of your patrol vehicle.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 26: Admit that on February 10, 2013, after getting out of your patrol vehicle, you did not immediately issue any commands to the persons in the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 27: Admit that on February 10, 2013, after getting out of your patrol vehicle, you approached on foot the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant.

ANSWER:

REOUEST NO. 28: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you approached on foot the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you did not issue any commands to the persons inside the vehicle.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 29: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you approached on foot the passenger side of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 30: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 31: Admit that on February 10, 2013, when you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you stood where members of the public could also have lawfully stood.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 32: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you observed Plaintiff Megan McGuire in a state of partial undress.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 33: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you observed that Plaintiff Megan McGuire did not have her pants pulled all the way up to her waist.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 34: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you observed that Plaintiff Megan McGuire had the upper portions of her legs exposed.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 35: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you had a particularized and objective basis for suspecting Plaintiff Megan McGuire of engaging in (or having been engaged in) the crime of public indecency.

ANSWER:

<u>REQUEST NO. 36</u>: Admit that on February 10, 2013, as you stood outside of the vehicle in which Plaintiff Megan McGuire was an occupant, you asked Plaintiff Megan McGuire to step out of the vehicle she was in,

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 37: Admit that Exhibit "B" attached hereto is a true and correct copy of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-416 (captioned "Prohibited acts; violations; penalties").

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 38: Admit that the version of Exhibit "B" attached hereto is the version of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-416 (captioned "Prohibited acts; violations; penalties"), which was in effect on February 10, 2013.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 39: If you cannot admit or deny the preceding request, then admit that on February 10, 2013, you believed there was a criminal statute in Nebraska that prohibited possession of marijuana.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 40: Admit that on February 10, 2013, after you asked Plaintiff Megan McGuire to step out of the vehicle she was in, you had a particularized and objective basis for suspecting Ms. McGuire of engaging in (or having been engaged in) the crime of possession of marijuana weighing one ounce or less.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 41: Admit that on February 10, 2013, after you asked Plaintiff Megan McGuire to step out of the vehicle she was in, you had a particularized and objective basis for also suspecting the man in the vehicle with Plaintiff Megan McGuire of engaging in (or having been engaged in) the crime of possession of marijuana weighing one ounce or less.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 42: Admit that Exhibit "C" attached hereto is a true and correct copy of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-441 (captioned "Drug paraphernalia; use or possession; unlawful; penalty").

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 43: Admit that the version of Exhibit "C" attached hereto is the version of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 28-441 (captioned "Drug paraphernalia; use or possession; unlawful; penalty"), which was in effect on February 10, 2013.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 44: If you cannot admit or deny the preceding request, then admit that on February 10, 2013, you believed there was a criminal statute in Nebraska that prohibited use or possession of drug paraphernalia.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 45: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you had a particularized and objective basis for suspecting Plaintiff Megan McGuire of engaging in (or having been engaged in) the crime of use or possession of drug paraphernalia.

ANSWER:

REQUEST NO. 46: Admit that on February 10, 2013, you had a particularized and objective basis for also suspecting the man in the vehicle with Plaintiff Megan McGuire of engaging in (or having been engaged in) the crime of use or possession of drug paraphernalia.

ANSWER:

DATED this 7th day of June, 2016.

TIMOTHY F. DUNNING, Defendant.

DONALD W. KLEINE, Douglas County Attorney.

BY:

Minothy K. Dolan #20978 Meghan M. Bothe #25208 Deputy County Attorneys 909 Civic Center Omaha, NE 68183

T: (402) 444-7622 F: (402) 444-6817

tim.dolan@douglascounty-ne.gov meghan.bothe@douglascounty-ne.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 7th, 2016, I mailed a copy of the above Defendant Timothy F. Dunning's First Set of Requests for Admission to Defendant Cory Cooper by First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

MpLU # 20978

Mr. Cory Cooper 10212 V Street Omaha, Nebraska 68127

Arthur Loevy
Cindy Tsai
Jon Loevy
Mark Loevy-Reyes
LOEVY, LOEVY LAW FIRM
312 North May Street, Suite 100
Chicago, IL 60607
T: (312) 243-5900
F: (312) 243-5902
arthur@loevy.com
cindy@loevy.com
jon@loevy.com
mark@loevy.com

28-806. Public indecency; penalty.

- (1) A person, eighteen years of age or over, commits public indecency if such person performs or procures, or assists any other person to perform, in a public place and where the conduct may reasonably be expected to be viewed by members of the public:
 - (a) An act of sexual penetration; or
- (b) An exposure of the genitals of the body done with intent to affront or alarm any person; or
- (c) A lewd fondling or caressing of the body of another person of the same or opposite sex.
 - (2) Public indecency is a Class II misdemeanor.

Source: Laws 1977, LB 38, § 162.



DRUGS AND NARCOTICS

§ 28-416

- (A) Controlled substances listed in Schedule II, III, IV, or V of section 28-405 may be destroyed by a pharmacy inspector, by a reverse distributor, or by the federal Drug Enforcement Administration. Upon destruction, any forms required by the administration to document such destruction shall be completed;
- (B) Liquid controlled substances in opened containers which originally contained fifty milliliters or less or compounded liquid controlled substances within the facility where they were compounded may be destroyed if witnessed by two individuals credentialed under the Uniform Credentialing Act and designated by the facility and recorded in accordance with subsection (4) of section 28-411; or
- (C) Solid controlled substances in opened unit-dose containers or which have been adulterated within a hospital where they were to be administered to patients at such hospital may be destroyed if witnessed by two individuals credentialed under the Uniform Credentialing Act and designated by the hospital and recorded in accordance with subsection (4) of section 28-411.
- (iii) When the owner is a patient, such owner may transfer the controlled substances to a pharmacy for immediate destruction by two individuals credentialed under the Uniform Credentialing Act and designated by the pharmacy.
- (iv) When the owner is a resident of a long-term care facility or hospital, a controlled substance listed in Schedule II, III, IV, or V of section 28-405 shall be destroyed by two individuals credentialed under the Uniform Credentialing Act and designated by the facility or hospital.
- (g) Before dispensing any controlled substance listed in Schedule II, III, IV, or V of section 28-405, the dispensing practitioner shall affix a label to the container in which the controlled substance is dispensed. Such label shall bear the name and address of the pharmacy or dispensing practitioner, the name of the patient, the date of filling, the consecutive number of the prescription under which it is recorded in the practitioner's prescription records, the name of the prescribing practitioner, and the directions for use of the controlled substance. Unless the prescribing practitioner writes "do not label" or words of similar import on the original written prescription or so designates in an oral prescription, such label shall also bear the name of the controlled substance.

Source: Laws 1977, LB 38, § 74; Laws 1988, LB 273, § 5; Laws 1995, LB 406, § 7; Laws 1996, LB 1108, § 4; Laws 1997, LB 307, § 8; Laws 1999, LB 594, § 4; Laws 2000, LB 819, § 65; Laws 2001, LB 398, § 12; Laws 2004, LB 1005, § 2; Laws 2005, LB 382, § 3; Laws 2007, LB463, § 1122; Laws 2009, LB195, § 3; Laws 2011, LB179, § 1.

Cross References

Uniform Credentialing Act, see section 38-101.

28-416 Prohibited acts; violations; penalties.

(1) Except as authorized by the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, it shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally: (a) To manufacture, distribute, deliver, dispense, or possess with intent to manufacture, distribute, deliver, or dispense a controlled substance; or (b) to create, distribute, or possess with intent to distribute a counterfeit controlled substance.

231 2012 Cumulative Supplement



28-416

CRIMES AND PUNISHMENTS

- (2) Except as provided in subsections (4), (5), (7), (8), (9), and (10) of this section, any person who violates subsection (1) of this section with respect to: (a) A controlled substance classified in Schedule I, II, or III of section 28-405 which is an exceptionally hazardous drug shall be guilty of a Class II felony; (b) any other controlled substance classified in Schedule I, II, or III of section 28-405 shall be guilty of a Class III felony; or (c) a controlled substance classified in Schedule IV or V of section 28-405 shall be guilty of a Class IIIA felony.
- (3) A person knowingly or intentionally possessing a controlled substance, except marijuana or any substance containing a quantifiable amount of the substances, chemicals, or compounds described, defined, or delineated in subdivision (c)(35) of Schedule I of section 28-405, unless such substance was obtained directly or pursuant to a medical order issued by a practitioner authorized to prescribe while acting in the course of his or her professional practice, or except as otherwise authorized by the act, shall be guilty of a Class IV felony.
- (4)(a) Except as authorized by the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, any person eighteen years of age or older who knowingly or intentionally manufactures, distributes, delivers, dispenses, or possesses with intent to manufacture, distribute, deliver, or dispense a controlled substance or a counterfeit controlled substance (i) to a person under the age of eighteen years, (ii) in, on, or within one thousand feet of the real property comprising a public or private elementary, vocational, or secondary school, a community college, a public or private college, junior college, or university, or a playground, or (iii) within one hundred feet of a public or private youth center, public swimming pool, or video arcade facility shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification than the penalty prescribed in subsection (2), (7), (8), (9), or (10) of this section, depending upon the controlled substance involved, for the first violation and for a second or subsequent violation shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification than that prescribed for a first violation of this subsection, but in no event shall such person be punished by a penalty greater than a Class IB felony.
 - (b) For purposes of this subsection:
- (i) Playground shall mean any outdoor facility, including any parking lot appurtenant to the facility, intended for recreation, open to the public, and with any portion containing three or more apparatus intended for the recreation of children, including sliding boards, swingsets, and teeterboards;
- (ii) Video arcade facility shall mean any facility legally accessible to persons under eighteen years of age, intended primarily for the use of pinball and video machines for amusement, and containing a minimum of ten pinball or video machines; and
- (iii) Youth center shall mean any recreational facility or gymnasium, including any parking lot appurtenant to the facility or gymnasium, intended primarily for use by persons under eighteen years of age which regularly provides athletic, civic, or cultural activities.
- (5)(a) Except as authorized by the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, it shall be unlawful for any person eighteen years of age or older to knowingly and intentionally employ, hire, use, cause, persuade, coax, induce, entice, seduce, or coerce any person under the age of eighteen years to manufacture, transport, distribute, carry, deliver, dispense, prepare for delivery, offer for delivery, or

2012 Cumulative Supplement

232

DRUGS AND NARCOTICS

\$ 28-41

possess with intent to do the same a controlled substance or a counterfeit controlled substance.

- (b) Except as authorized by the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, it shall be unlawful for any person eighteen years of age or older to knowingly and intentionally employ, hire, use, cause, persuade, coax, induce, entice, seduce, or coerce any person under the age of eighteen years to aid and abet any person in the manufacture, transportation, distribution, carrying, delivery, dispensing, preparation for delivery, offering for delivery, or possession with intent to do the same of a controlled substance or a counterfeit controlled substance.
- (c) Any person who violates subdivision (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification than the penalty prescribed in subsection (2), (7), (8), (9), or (10) of this section, depending upon the controlled substance involved, for the first violation and for a second or subsequent violation shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification than that prescribed for a first violation of this subsection, but in no event shall such person be punished by a penalty greater than a Class IB felony.
- (6) It shall not be a defense to prosecution for violation of subsection (4) or (5) of this section that the defendant did not know the age of the person through whom the defendant violated such subsection.
- (7) Any person who violates subsection (1) of this section with respect to cocaine or any mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine in a quantity of:
 - (a) One hundred forty grams or more shall be guilty of a Class IB felony;
- (b) At least twenty-eight grams but less than one hundred forty grams shall be guilty of a Class IC felony; or
- (c) At least ten grams but less than twenty-eight grams shall be guilty of a Class ID felony.
- (8) Any person who violates subsection (1) of this section with respect to base cocaine (crack) or any mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of base cocaine in a quantity of:
 - (a) One hundred forty grams or more shall be guilty of a Class IB felony;
- (b) At least twenty-eight grams but less than one hundred forty grams shall be guilty of a Class IC felony; or
- (c) At least ten grams but less than twenty-eight grams shall be guilty of a Class ID felony.
- (9) Any person who violates subsection (1) of this section with respect to heroin or any mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin in a quantity of:
 - (a) One hundred forty grams or more shall be guilty of a Class IB felony;
- (b) At least twenty-eight grams but less than one hundred forty grams shall be guilty of a Class IC felony; or
- (c) At least ten grams but less than twenty-eight grams shall be guilty of a Class ID felony.
- (10) Any person who violates subsection (1) of this section with respect to amphetamine, its salts, optical isomers, and salts of its isomers, or with respect to methamphetamine, its salts, optical isomers, and salts of its isomers, in a quantity of:

233

2012 Cumulative Supplement

28-416

CRIMES AND PUNISHMENTS

- (a) One hundred forty grams or more shall be guilty of a Class IB felony;
- (b) At least twenty-eight grams but less than one hundred forty grams shall be guilty of a Class IC felony; or
- (c) At least ten grams but less than twenty-eight grams shall be guilty of a Class ID felony.
- (11) Any person knowingly or intentionally possessing marijuana weighing more than one ounce but not more than one pound shall be guilty of a Class III misdemeanor.
- (12) Any person knowingly or intentionally possessing marijuana weighing more than one pound shall be guilty of a Class IV felony.
- (13) Any person knowingly or intentionally possessing marijuana weighing one ounce or less or any substance containing a quantifiable amount of the substances, chemicals, or compounds described, defined, or delineated in subdivision (c)(35) of Schedule I of section 28-405 shall:
- (a) For the first offense, be guilty of an infraction, receive a citation, be fined three hundred dollars, and be assigned to attend a course as prescribed in section 29-433 if the judge determines that attending such course is in the best interest of the individual defendant;
- (b) For the second offense, be guilty of a Class IV misdemeanor, receive a citation, and be fined four hundred dollars and may be imprisoned not to exceed five days; and
- (c) For the third and all subsequent offenses, be guilty of a Class IIIA misdemeanor, receive a citation, be fined five hundred dollars, and be imprisoned not to exceed seven days.
- (14) Any person convicted of violating this section, if placed on probation, shall, as a condition of probation, satisfactorily attend and complete appropriate treatment and counseling on drug abuse provided by a program authorized under the Nebraska Behavioral Health Services Act or other licensed drug treatment facility.
- (15) Any person convicted of violating this section, if sentenced to the Department of Correctional Services, shall attend appropriate treatment and counseling on drug abuse.
- (16) Any person knowingly or intentionally possessing a firearm while in violation of subsection (1) of this section shall be punished by the next higher penalty classification than the penalty prescribed in subsection (2), (7), (8), (9), or (10) of this section, but in no event shall such person be punished by a penalty greater than a Class IB felony.
- (17) A person knowingly or intentionally in possession of money used or intended to be used to facilitate a violation of subsection (1) of this section shall be guilty of a Class IV felony.
 - (18) In addition to the penalties provided in this section:
- (a) If the person convicted or adjudicated of violating this section is eighteen years of age or younger and has one or more licenses or permits issued under the Motor Vehicle Operator's License Act:
- (i) For the first offense, the court may, as a part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) impound any such licenses or permits for thirty days and
 (B) require such person to attend a drug education class;

2012 Cumulative Supplement

DRUGS AND NARCOTICS

§ 28-42

- (ii) For a second offense, the court may, as a part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) impound any such licenses or permits for ninety days and (B) require such person to complete no fewer than twenty and no more than forty hours of community service and to attend a drug education class; and
- (iii) For a third or subsequent offense, the court may, as a part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) impound any such licenses or permits for twelve months and (B) require such person to complete no fewer than sixty hours of community service, to attend a drug education class, and to submit to a drug assessment by a licensed alcohol and drug counselor; and
- (b) If the person convicted or adjudicated of violating this section is eighteen years of age or younger and does not have a permit or license issued under the Motor Vehicle Operator's License Act:
- (i) For the first offense, the court may, as part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) prohibit such person from obtaining any permit or any license pursuant to the act for which such person would otherwise be eligible until thirty days after the date of such order and (B) require such person to attend a drug education class;
- (ii) For a second offense, the court may, as part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) prohibit such person from obtaining any permit or any license pursuant to the act for which such person would otherwise be eligible until ninety days after the date of such order and (B) require such person to complete no fewer than twenty hours and no more than forty hours of community service and to attend a drug education class; and
- (iii) For a third or subsequent offense, the court may, as part of the judgment of conviction or adjudication, (A) prohibit such person from obtaining any permit or any license pursuant to the act for which such person would otherwise be eligible until twelve months after the date of such order and (B) require such person to complete no fewer than sixty hours of community service, to attend a drug education class, and to submit to a drug assessment by a licensed alcohol and drug counselor.

A copy of an abstract of the court's conviction or adjudication shall be transmitted to the Director of Motor Vehicles pursuant to sections 60-497.01 to 60-497.04 if a license or permit is impounded or a juvenile is prohibited from obtaining a license or permit under this subsection.

Source: Laws 1977, LB 38, § 76; Laws 1978, LB 808, § 2; Laws 1980, LB 696, § 3; Laws 1985, LB 406, § 4; Laws 1986, LB 504, § 1; Laws 1989, LB 592, § 2; Laws 1991, LB 742, § 1; Laws 1993, LB 117, § 2; Laws 1995, LB 371, § 6; Laws 1997, LB 364, § 8; Laws 1999, LB 299, § 1; Laws 2001, LB 398, § 14; Laws 2003, LB 46, § 1; Laws 2004, LB 1083, § 86; Laws 2005, LB 117, § 3; Laws 2008, LB844, § 1; Laws 2010, LB800, § 4; Laws 2011, LB19, § 2; Laws 2011, LB463, § 1.

Cross References

Motor Vehicle Operator's License Act, see section 60-462. Nebraska Behavioral Health Services Act, see section 71-801.

28-421 Act, exceptions.

235

2012 Cumulative Supplement

28-441. Drug paraphernalia; use or possession; unlawful; penalty.

- (1) It shall be unlawful for any person to use, or to possess with intent to use, drug paraphernalia to manufacture, inject, ingest, inhale, or otherwise introduce into the human body a controlled substance in violation of sections 28-101, 28-431, and 28-439 to 28-444.
 - (2) Any person who violates this section shall be guilty of an infraction.

Source: Laws 1980, LB 991, § 3.

